

## Small Spaces with Large Point Character

VOJTĚCH RÖDL

Let  $(X, \varrho)$  be a metric space. An *open covering*  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $(X, \varrho)$  is a family of open subsets of  $X$  with  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ . The  $\mathcal{U}$  is called *uniform* if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in X$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  which contains the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{y, \varrho(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ .

By the well-known theorem of A. H. Stone [10] each metric space is paracompact and hence each open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $(X, \varrho)$  has an open locally *finite refinement*  $\mathcal{V}$ —i.e. there is an open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  with the two following properties:

(a) for each  $x \in X$  there is a neighborhood of  $x$  which meets only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{V}$ ;

(b) for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  there is an  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $V \subset U$ .

The question, whether in Stone's theorem open coverings may be replaced by uniform ones (i.e. whether every uniform covering has a locally finite uniform refinement) was originally formulated by A. H. Stone [9] and is mentioned also in Isbell's book [3]. The answer to this question is clearly positive for any Euclidean space or more generally separable space. However, the question was answered negatively in [4] and [8], where it was shown that the space  $l_\infty(\kappa)$  ( $\kappa$  infinite and sufficiently large, Pelant's bound  $\kappa \geq 2^{\aleph_1}$  is better than that of [8]) does not have the above property. In [7] we proved that the space  $l_p(\kappa)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$  ( $\kappa$  large) does not have this property either. This together with some other related questions was further studied in [6]. All those examples have large number of points. The aim of this note is to construct a relatively small space (smallest possible) giving a negative answer to Stone's question. We will need the following definitions: We say that a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  is *c*-bounded if  $\text{diam } U < c$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Here  $\text{diam } U = \sup \{\varrho(x, y), x, y \in U\}$  we say that a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  is bounded if it is *c*-bounded for some  $c > 0$ . The central notion of our paper is the notion of *point character*:

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal number, we say that the point character of a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  is bigger than  $\kappa$  and we denote it by  $pc(X, \varrho) > \kappa$  if there exists  $c > 0$  such that for every *c*-bounded uniform covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is a point  $x \in X$  which is contained in at least  $\kappa$  members of  $\mathcal{U}$ . We set  $pc(X, \varrho) = \lambda$  if  $\lambda = \min \{\kappa; pc(X, \varrho) \geq \kappa\}$ . A space with  $pc(X, \varrho) \leq \aleph_0$  is also called *point finite*. As clearly, for any Euclidean space  $E_n$   $pc(E_n) = n + 2$  the point character provides suitable generalization of the notion of dimension for the 'infinite dimensional' case.

The Stone question is now equivalent to ask whether every metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  is point finite. (Clearly, the positive answer for the former would give a positive answer to the latter and one can show (see [3]) that the reversed implication holds as well.)

It has been observed in [5], that the point character of a metric space cannot be larger than its cardinality and thus  $pc(X, \varrho) \geq \kappa$  implies  $|X| \geq \kappa$ . On the other hand the results proved in [4], [6], [7], [8] do not ensure the existence of the space with the same cardinality and dimension (point character). The above mentioned examples, giving negative answer to Stone's question have point character  $\aleph_1$  but their cardinality is larger. Here we prove the following

**THEOREM 0.1.** *There exists a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  with*

$$pc(X, \varrho) = |X| = \aleph_1$$

This is related to a recent result of J. Pelant (oral communication), who proved by different means that  $pc(l_0(\omega)) \geq \aleph_1$ . Thus assuming the continuum hypothesis  $l_\infty(\omega)$  provides such an example also. Our method is based on the theory of graphs.

By a graph  $G$  we shall understand a couple  $(V, E)$ , where  $V = V(G)$  is the set of vertices and  $E = E(G) \subset [V]^2$  is the set of edges— $[V]^2$  is the set of all unordered pairs of distinct elements of  $V$ . The chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the least number of colors, needed to color the vertices of  $G$  so that no two adjacent vertices get the same color. Any such coloring is called a *chromatic coloring*. We say that the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  form a *cycle* of  $G$  if  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $\{v_1, v_n\} \in E(G)$ . If  $x$  is a vertex of  $G$  the *neighborhood*  $N_G(x)$  of  $x$  in  $G$  is the set of all vertices adjacent to  $x$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are two vertices of  $G$ , the *distance of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$*  ( $\text{dist}_G(x, y)$ ) is the minimal positive integer  $n$  such that there exists a path with vertices  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = y$  (i.e.  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E(G)$ ) for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . If such sequence does not exist we put  $\text{dist}_G(x, y) = \infty$ . Let  $(V_1, F_1)$   $(V_2, F_2)$  be two graphs, by a *homomorphism* we understand a mapping  $\phi: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that  $\{v, w\} \in F_1$  implies  $\{\phi(v), \phi(w)\} \in F_2$ . It is a matter of routine to verify  $\chi(V_1, F_1) \leq \chi(V_2, F_2)$ .

### GRAPHS AND POINT CHARACTER

We shall need the following.

1.1. DEFINITION. Let  $G$  be a graph,  $j$  a positive integer and  $\kappa$  a cardinal. We say that the  $j$ th point character of  $G$  is bigger than  $\kappa$  —  $pc^j G > \kappa$  if for every coloring  $\phi$  of vertices of  $G$  with the property:

$$\text{dist}_G(x, y) \geq j \text{ implies } \phi(x) \neq \phi(y),$$

there exists a vertex  $x_0$  of  $G$  the neighborhood of which is colored with at least  $\kappa$  colors.

1.2. THEOREM. Let  $G_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of connected graphs on disjoint sets of vertices with  $pc^j G_j > \kappa$ . If we denote by  $(X, \varrho)$  the metric space defined by  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V(G_j)$  with metric

$$\varrho(x, y) = \begin{cases} (1/j) \text{dist}_{G_j}(x, y), & \text{for } x, y \in V(G_j), \\ \infty, & \text{for } x \in V(G_i), y \in V(G_j), i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

then the point character of the space  $(X, \varrho)$  is bigger than  $\kappa$ —i.e.  $pc(X, \varrho) > \kappa$ .

PROOF. Take a 1-bounded uniform covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ . Thus for  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\text{diam } U < 1$  and moreover, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in X$   $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{y, \varrho(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \subset U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . To every  $x \in X$  choose  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with this property. This defines the mapping  $\phi: \bigcup_{j \in \omega} V(G_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ .

Take  $j_0$  so large that  $1/j_0 < \varepsilon$  and consider a mapping  $\phi$  restricted to the vertex set of the graph  $G_{j_0}$ . Evidently, this mapping satisfies

$$\text{dist}_{G_{j_0}}(x, y) \geq j_0 \text{ implies } \phi(x) \neq \phi(y).$$

Thus, there exists a vertex  $x_0 \in V(G_{j_0})$  the neighborhood of which is colored by at least  $\kappa$  colors. But  $\text{dist}_{G_{j_0}}(x_0, y) = 1$  (i.e.  $y \in N_{G_{j_0}}(x_0)$ ) implies  $\varrho(x_0, y) < \varepsilon$  and thus  $x_0$  is contained in at least  $\kappa$  members of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

## ERDÖS-HAJNAL GRAPHS

We shall use the following result of Erdős and Hajnal (cf. [2]).

**2.1. THEOREM.** *For every infinite cardinal number  $\kappa$  and positive integer  $n$  there exists a graph  $G_n(\kappa)$  with the cardinality of the vertex set  $\kappa$  chromatic number  $\kappa$  and which does not contain odd cycles of length  $3, 5, \dots, 2n + 1$ .*

First we prove one auxiliary lemma. Let  $H = (V, F)$  be a graph and  $<$  a linear ordering of its vertices. For every vertex  $v \in V$  set

$$L_H(v) = \{w: w < v \text{ and } \{w, v\} \in F\}.$$

**2.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $H = (V, F)$  be a graph with  $|V| \leq 2^\lambda$ . Let  $<$  be a linear ordering of  $V$ . Let  $\phi$  be a chromatic coloring of  $V$  with the property that  $L_H(v)$  is monochromatic for every  $v \in V$ . Then  $\chi(H) \leq \lambda$ .*

**PROOF.** We may think about colors as ordinals smaller than  $2^\lambda$ . To every vertex  $v \in V$  assign a pair  $\psi(v) = (\alpha_v, \beta_v)$  where  $\alpha_v$  is color of  $L_H(v)$  (if  $L_H(v) \neq \emptyset$  then set  $\alpha_v = 0$ ) and  $\beta_v$  is the color of  $v$ —i.e.  $\beta_v = \phi(v)$ . Then  $\{v, w\} \in F$  implies  $\alpha_v = \beta_w$  or  $\alpha_w = \beta_v$  and thus  $\psi$  defines a homomorphism from  $(V, F)$  into a graph with vertex set  $2^\lambda \times 2^\lambda$  (as usual, we identify  $2^\lambda$  with the set of ordinals smaller than  $2^\lambda$ ) and with vertices  $(\alpha, \beta), (\gamma, \delta)$  joined by an edge iff  $\beta = \gamma$  or  $\alpha = \delta$ . It was shown in [1] that the chromatic number of this graph is  $\lambda$  and thus  $\chi(H) \leq \lambda$ . We shall use further the following:

**CLAIM.** *Let  $(V, E)$  be a graph with  $\chi(V, E) = \aleph_1$  and let  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^i E_k$  be a partition into finitely many parts, then there exists  $k_0 \leq i$  such that  $\chi(V, E_{k_0}) = \aleph_1$ .*

For  $\kappa = \aleph_1$  let  $G_n(\aleph_1) = G_n$  be the graph from 2.1. Theorem. We prove:

**2.3. LEMMA.**  *$pc^j G_n > \aleph_0$  for every  $n \geq j$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\phi$  be a coloring of the graph  $G_n$  with the property described in 1.1. Definition. Suppose that the neighborhood of each vertex  $v \in V(G_n)$  has only finitely many colors. Let  $V = V(G_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty C_i$  be a partition, where  $C_i = \{x \in V(G_n); N_G(x) \text{ is colored by } i \text{ colors}\}$ . As  $\chi(G_n) = \aleph_1$ , there exists a positive integer  $i$  such that the subgraph  $G^*$  of  $G$  induced on a set  $G_i$  has the chromatic number  $\aleph_1$ . Clearly, every vertex  $v$  of  $G^* = (V, E)$  has a neighborhood  $N_{G^*}(v)$  colored by at most  $i$  colors. Fix now a linear ordering of the vertices of  $G^*$  and suppose moreover that the set  $\{\phi(v); v \in V(G^*)\}$  is also linearly ordered. Set  $\phi[L_{G^*}(v)] = \{\phi(w); w \in L_{G^*}(v)\}$  and define a partition  $E(G^*) = \bigcup_{k=1}^i E_k$  by  $E_k = \{\{v, w\}; w \in L_{G^*}(v) \text{ and } \phi(w) \text{ is the } k\text{th largest color in } \phi[L_{G^*}(v)]\}$ . Then, clearly there exists  $k_0 \leq i$  such that  $\chi(B) = \aleph_1$ , where  $B = (V(G^*), E_{k_0})$ . Moreover  $L_B(v)$  is monochromatic for every  $v \in V(G^*)$ . Consider the partition  $E_{k_0} = F_1 \cup F_2$  defined by

$$F_1 = \{\{x, y\}; \phi(x) \neq \phi(y)\},$$

$$F_2 = \{\{x, y\}; \phi(x) = \phi(y)\}.$$

As  $\phi$  restricted to the graph  $H(V(G^*), F_1)$  is a chromatic coloring, we have according to 2.2., Lemma  $\chi(H) \leq \aleph_0$ . Thus  $\chi(V(G^*), F_2) = \aleph_1$  and  $(V(G^*), F_2)$  contains an odd cycle with length necessarily bigger than  $2n + 1 \geq 2j + 1$ . Hence there exist two vertices  $x, y \in V(G^*)$  with  $\text{dist}_{G_n}(x, y) > j$ , but  $\varrho(x) = \varrho(y)$  contradiction.

## MAIN RESULT

PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1. Combining 1.2. Theorem and 2.2. Lemma we get an example of a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  with  $pc(X, \varrho) = |X| = \aleph_1$ .

Note that our proof yields the following stronger statement as well:

3.1. THEOREM. If  $\aleph_\alpha^{\aleph_\beta} < \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , then there exists a metric space  $(X_{\alpha+1}, \varrho_{\alpha+1})$  with

$$pc(X_{\alpha+1}, \varrho_{\alpha+1}) = |X_{\alpha+1}| = \aleph_{\alpha+1}.$$

Theorem 3.1 can be further extended. Recently we proved that the construction of our paper applied directly to generalized Specker graphs (cf. [2]) yields for an arbitrary infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$  satisfying

$$pc(X, \varrho) = |X| = \kappa.$$

## REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and A. Hajnal; On chromatic number of infinite graphs, in *Theory of Graphs* (P. Erdős and G. Katona, eds), Akademiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1968, pp. 83–98.
2. P. Erdős, F. Galvin and A. Hajnal, On set-systems having large chromatic number and not containing prescribed subsystems, in *Infinite and Finite Sets* (A. Hajnal, R. Rado and V. T. Sós, eds), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1976, pp. 425–513.
3. J. R. Isbell, *Uniform Spaces*, Mathematical Surveys 12, AMS, Providence, 1964.
4. J. Pelant, Cardinal reflections and point character of uniformities, in *Seminar Uniform Spaces 1973–74* (directed by Z. Frolik), *Mat. ústav ČSAV, Prague* (1975), 149–158.
5. J. Pelant, Universal metric spaces, in *Seminar Uniform Spaces 1975–76* (directed by Z. Frolik), *Mat. ústav ČSAV, Prague* (1977), 49–53.
6. J. Pelant and V. Rödl, On coverings of infinite-dimensional metric spaces (submitted for publication in *General Topology*).
7. V. Rödl, Canonical partition relations and point-character of  $l_p$ -spaces, in *Seminar Uniform Spaces 1976–77* (directed by Z. Frolik), *Mat. ústav ČSAV, Prague* (1978), 79–82.
8. E. V. Shepin, On a problem of Isbell, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk, SSSR* **222** (1975), 541–543.
9. A. H. Stone, Universal space for some metrizable uniformities, *Quart. J. Math.* **11** (1960), 105–115.
10. A. H. Stone, Paracompactness and product spaces, *Bull. AMS* **54** (1948), 977–982.

Received 28 October 1983

VOJTECH RÖDL  
AT&T Bell Laboratories  
600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill,  
NJ 07974, U.S.A.